



# Open Letter to the President **Ibrahim Traoré**,

following the speech of 10 December 2023  
for the 63rd anniversary of Faso's independence.

By former auditors of "Institut des Hautes Études de la Défense Nationale"

## The 'Sank' Burkinabe, lock of African sovereignty

**Mr President**, in the light of your actions, you obviously have a great knowledge of the problems of sovereignty. This is why we wish to share with you our vision of the implementation of a sovereign money, which, in times of war, would avoid unnecessary financial sacrifices, because, of its highly consuming, time-consuming and non-recurring nature, it is more appropriate to finance a war economy through monetary creation...

Financial sacrifices which, excessively, could be counterproductive, because taxes destroy the monetary supply, considering, that the good health of an economy is proportional to its ability to have enough of its money to complete, at every instant, all transactions.

**There is the Achilles heel of monetary systems  
using commodity as a money!**

Our vision, centered on a pure arithmetic of the monetary supply, was forged from years through our investigations(\*) on monetary systems using the currency of the «Prince» ; the Sterling Pound in the sixteenth century, the Dollar in the twentieth century, the euro/dollars in the twenty-first which nowadays is moved into digital currency, respectively the SDR and BitCoin for Dollars.

**It is a new paradigm** and we think that, regarding the disastrous results of other 'inflationary' and/or 'system debt' currents of thought, it will be increasingly taken into account in economies.

(\*) [Western monetary system – the big lie](#)



# A new paradigm Implementation and governance of a sovereign currency

Managed by scoundrels as has been the case since the nineteenth century<sup>(\*)</sup>, money has become a fatal weapon whose substantial marrow, **up side**, is the plundering of real work against nothing. **Down side**, focused on the interest of the community, money is also the solution.

As a currency is a political concept materialized by a legal whose value is of a social nature, its implementation can only be, for the benefit of a **single community**, ie a group sharing the same consciousness of life. In that light, a 'single' currency is just a none sense...

Its implementation is articulated according to two main axis ; the definition of its **General Policy** and its spreading in the social body, its **logistics**.

To these two components we add the **security/defense** component that will militarily protect the candidate nation, against the inevitably revenge's actions, of the fallen 'Prince'.

The crisis cells, the continuity and recovery plans of the strategic components of the Nation, will all be elements that will participate in the accomplishment of the task, to at least, activate a tight environment that will ensure the success of the strategic goal.

The treaties of cooperation between neighboring and/or friendly nations are obviously priority actions, because they combine forces within a monolithic entity that can project its power, tenfold by the wealth of each sovereign nation.

The Alliance of Sahel States (AES), BRICS, ASEAN, OCS, OTSC, OPEC+, etc... are all reference organizations, which paves the way.

**However, as the saying goes  
«the shadow never comes from below, but from above»**

(\*)[«Structure of the Globalized Criminal Organization»](#)



# Dual currency

Good governance of a system using a commodity currency can be supported in an acceptable way, by the formulation of the quantitative theory of the money, which explains enough observations to take into account its fundamentals.

The main idea of the theory is that, to be balance, the entire monetary supply, modulating the velocity, must, at each instant, be able to be used to achieve all the transactions, no more, no less.

A transaction being the result of a real work, which consumes energy, time and raw material.

This formula also explains in case of unbalanced state, too much money causes inflation by devaluation of the commercial value, and too less, problems of under-production and therefore lower wages and unemployment.

And it's exactly this last phenomenon that we have been experiencing since the 70s, which is the consequence of actions that can only be organized and planned on purpose...

**From this point of view,  
the targeted drying up of a money supply  
is the main weapon of a monetary system that stands against the people  
to keep him in a perpetual money race**

It is therefore necessary to define resilient mechanisms of creation, evaluation and regulation of the monetary supply.

This also means that all the mechanisms, applied **a priori** by central banks, such as for example, the increase in interest rates to reduce inflation<sup>(\*)</sup>, are to be avoided, because, in medium and long term, they destroy the monetary supply with all its deadly consequences for the economy...

And the evidence is, that after 50 years of applying such measures, economies have become moribund, despite obvious technical progress and quality training.  
**It is therefore the paradigm that must be questioned...**

(\*) [«The secret war of Bank of Russia ?»](#)



It is also important to consider the 'edge effects' that would make the system disruptive. We have listed three.

1. The velocity of money, 'V' is a difficult parameter to govern. Its increase, increases the available monetary supply, which can lead to price gaps, as there will be more money visible. Economists talk about 'instantaneous level of inflation'. However, it is also a sign of good health, which must not fight, but manage, **whereas its primary intrinsic interest is to compensate the first effects of the monetary supply imbalances.**  
**This is also why the monetary system must be regulated A POSTERIORI...**
2. Financial investments decrease the available monetary supply, thus the potential volume of trade. In an economy of growth or mutation, they will limit new projects, at constant production. Moreover, the remuneration of the accounts represent a monetary creation without counterpart of real work. Then, when it will be re-injected in the economy that will amplify the imbalances. **This is a double-edged effect, deadly for an economy, moreover, managed by hostile policies, becomes an easy weapon to use...**
3. Imports also decrease the monetary supply as long as the exporter, through reciprocal purchases, does not re-inject the money into the local economy. The currencies thus transferred to the exporter, can be used, in case of conflict, to attack the partner economy, causing inflation or hyper-inflation, by increase without counterpart the monetary supply. On the other hand, for exporters the operation on its domestic monetary supply is neutral.

**Because the exporter has the ability to compromise a competing economy,  
One of the solution is to design a currency dedicated to import/export**

There are many advantages to recovering a sovereign currency, history proves it. Whenever a nation granted the privilege of monetary creation to a private bank, it was in great danger, and whenever it regained its sovereignty the nation prospered again, naturally.

Other benefits are less visible, such as that of repaying a organized debt with a virtual currency create ex-nihilo, moreover if the currency is the import/export one, or that of eliminating, mechanically, the corruption that plagues communities from within, because the currency of the «Prince» is disqualified in exchanges. And it's not property certificates stamped by treasonous institutions that will make the difference...

**Money only holds by the thread that connects  
the figure to currency,  
and currency to a value...**

It will also mechanically stop the embezzlement of private bankers, which are so many aberrations for the proper functioning of a community...



# Security/Defense

Recovering his sovereignty is a matter of survival for all parties. Because of that, all the steps, will have to be obfuscated, to hide the real nature of actions to the hostile Governments.

So any original ideas or paradigmatic ideas will have to be considered...

Moreover, the case of **Burkina Faso** have a special place on the world chessboard, because if the country regains its sovereignty, it is all the countries of the **Sahel** that will recover it, then **black Africa**, to finally free the other **African** countries, a billion and a half inhabitants and one of the largest volumes of natural resources on the planet...

## Few technical details

- Money creation is done by, no usury, loan,
- Access to loan is simplified, however its execution must be monitored and controlled,
- It is the State Bank that decides, in the interest of the Nation, the terms of the loan,
- Monetary regulation is done through goods and services taxes,
- The regulation is achieve **after** the consequences not '**a priori**',
- The state bank, by government decree, creates the monetary supply  $M0^{(*)}$ ,
- $M0^{(*)}$  represents at least 20% of the  $M2^{(*)}$  estimated at **4700 billion** of units,
- The exchange rate of  $M0$  and the current scriptural currency to the new is 1:1,
- The exchange rate between domestic and import/export currency is 1:10 or higher,
- Interest rates on the domestic market are being phased out,
- The state bank is the exclusive supplier of financial products...

Sincerely yours

December, 2023 15 - [ACTRACO](#)

NB : We are former legal experts, security officers, investigators/analysts in the field of organized crime, specialized in the fight against porn-pedophilia and human trafficking, but also in the fight against interference, economic intelligence specialists. For the most part, we have had technical professional experiences in civil and military services of the French State. Our work today, is focused on the definition and implementation of adapted solutions, which can help to accompany the paradigm change initiated by Russia, in our areas of expertise.

(\*) Monetary supply components :

- $M0$ , represents notes and coins immediately available for exchange
- $M1$ , is  $M0$  add with the current account cash immediately available for swaps, account units not having a currency unit.
- $M2$ , is  $M1$  added with the currency not immediately available in exchanges, ie. investments.